

USPAP Q&A

Vol. 9, No. 3 March 2007

This communication by the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) does not establish new standards or interpret existing standards. The ASB USPAP Q&A is issued to inform appraisers, regulators, and users of appraisal services of the ASB responses to questions raised by regulators and individuals; to illustrate the applicability of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in specific situations; and to offer advice from the ASB for the resolution of appraisal issues and problems.

Sales or Financing Concessions

Question:

The real estate market I appraise in has slowed down over the last 12 to 18 months, and it is now extremely common to see seller concessions as part of a purchase transaction. What are the USPAP requirements regarding proper treatment of sales/financing concessions?

Response:

Sales or financing concessions may have an effect on the price paid for a property. As such, it is important for the appraiser to recognize this and analyze their impact.

Sales or financing concessions should be considered in light of the type and definition of value used in an assignment. If the value opinion to be developed in a real property appraisal assignment is market value, then Standards Rule 1-2(c) requires the appraiser to ascertain whether the value is to be the most probable price:

- (i) in terms of cash; or
- (ii) in terms of financial arrangements equivalent to cash; or
- (iii) in other precisely defined terms; and
- (iv) if the opinion of value is to be based on non-market financing or financing with unusual conditions or incentives, the terms of such financing must be clearly identified and the appraiser's opinion of their contributions to or negative influence on value must be developed by analysis of relevant market data; (Bold added for emphasis)

Vol. 9, No. 3 March 2007

USPAP Q&A Page 2

It should be noted that some client groups, such as Fannie Mae, specify how sales or financing concessions are to be addressed in assignments that are subject to their guidelines. Appraisers performing assignments of this type should become familiar with all applicable guidelines in order to satisfy the requirements of the COMPETENCY RULE.

The following two USPAP Q&A's appear in our 2006 Frequently Asked Questions publication. They have been reprinted here because they are questions which continue to be asked on a regular basis. Additional questions may be reprinted in future months.

Obligation to Analyze Prior Listings of Subject Property

Ouestion:

I know that Standards Rule 1-5(a) requires an appraiser to analyze all current listings of the subject property. Does it also require analysis of *prior* listings of the subject property?

Response:

No. Similar to sales history requirements for comparable sales, this Standards Rule does not require an appraiser to analyze a prior listing history for the subject property. However, in the development of an appraisal, an appraiser is required under Standards Rule 1-1(b), to not commit a substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal. If information about a prior listing is known by the appraiser, and that information is relevant to the appraisal problem, it must be considered.

An analysis of the subject's prior listing history may be required by applicable supplemental standards in some assignments.

Obligation to Analyze Withdrawn or Expired Listings

Question:

I was asked to appraise a single-family residence for refinancing. I am aware that the property had been previously listed but did not sell. During my data investigation and analysis, I noted that the owner's "estimate of value" was \$375,000. When I looked up the listing history, I found it had been withdrawn from the market at the asking price of \$325,000. What are my obligations under USPAP regarding a withdrawn or expired listing of the subject property?

Response:

Standards Rule 1-5(a) states:

Vol. 9, No. 3 March 2007

USPAP Q&A Page 3

When the value opinion to be developed is market value, an appraiser must, if such information is available to the appraiser in the normal course of business:

(a) analyze all agreements of sale, options, or listings of the subject property current as of the effective date of the appraisal.

Therefore, there is not a specific requirement in Standards Rule 1-5(a) to consider and analyze a withdrawn or expired listing of the subject property, prior to the date of the appraisal.

However, any prior listing of the subject property (as of the effective date of the appraisal) might be significant in that it indicates the property's availability in the market and the market reaction to that availability. Likewise, agreements of sale and options are generally significant to the appraisal problem in that they involve a "meeting of the minds," relating to the property's value, of the potential buyer and seller.

In the development of an appraisal, an appraiser is required under Standards Rule 1-1(b), to not commit a substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal. If information about a withdrawn or expired listing is known by the appraiser and that information is relevant to the appraisal problem, it must be considered.

Each year the ASB compiles the monthly USPAP Q&A into a publication entitled Frequently Asked Questions, or FAQ's. In addition to compiling the new FAQ's for the year, all of the FAQ's in the publication are reviewed and updated, if necessary, to ensure they represent the most recent guidance from the Board. Frequently Asked Questions is published as an adjunct to each edition of USPAP, and has become a valuable reference for appraisers, users of appraisal services, and regulators. The Frequently Asked Questions publication can be purchased for \$20.00 by visiting the "Foundation Store" page on The Appraisal Foundation website (https://commerce.appraisalfoundation.org).

For further information regarding *USPAP Q&A*, please contact:

John S. Brenan, Director of Research and Technical Issues

The Appraisal Foundation 1155 15th Street, NW, Suite 1111 Washington, DC 20005

(202) 624-3044 (202) 347-7727 fax

Vol. 9, No. 3 March 2007